

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tra. 9ark Office Address: COMMISSIONE OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

KI)

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE			
	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		TTY, DOCKET NO.
08/936,657 09/24/97	ECKSTEIN	F	228213
	•	F	XAMINER
022249	HM12/0816		-
LYON AND LYON LLP			ADER,J
SUITE 4700 633 WEST FIFTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2	066	1635	14
LOO THALLEO ON JOUY! 2	000	1000	//
		DATE MAILED:	08/16/99
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of yo	our application.		
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS			
OF	FICE ACTION SUMMARY		
	/ _ /		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	!/27/58		
Tiesponsive to communication(s) filed on	1		
This action is FINAL.			•
Since this application is in condition for allowance e	xcept for formal matters, prosecuti	on as to the merits is	closed in
accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle		20 10 010 11101110 10	
.ye	\sim		
hortened statutory period for response to this action		month(s), or th	
chever is longer, from the mailing date of this commu			
application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133 36(a).	n. ⊏xtensions of time may be obtain	med under the provision	BUISI UFF
position of Claims			
Claim(s) 44-57	;	intora mandin	a in the annliantic-
Of the above, claim(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		g in the application. from consideration.
Claim(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Claim(s) 44-5-7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
Claim(s)			are objected to.
Claim(s)	ore s	subject to restriction or e	•
	aie s	,500 10 1000100011 01 0	
plication Papers			
See the ethicated Nation of Destruction of Destruction	review Decision DTO 040		
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr	awing Review PIII-94X		
	-	dan bu dha Fusasiasa	
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected	d to by the Examiner.	
The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on	-	d to by the Examiner.	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected	· <u> </u>	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected	· <u> </u>	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected	· <u> </u>	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected	· <u> </u>	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected	· <u> </u>	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected	is	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected ner. rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	is	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected ner. rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	is	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected ner. rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). copies of the priority documents ha	is	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected is/are objecte	is approved	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected is/are objecte	is approved	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected is/are objecte	is approved	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected is/are objecte	is approved	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected is/are objecte	is approved	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected is/are objecte	is approved	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected is/are objecte	is approved	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected is/are objecte	is approved	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected is/are objecte	is approved	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected is/are objecte	is approved	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected is/are objecte	is approved	disapproved.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected is/are objecte	is approved	disapproved.

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

Serial No. 08/936,657 Art Unit 1635

Claims 41-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention, and is repeated for the same reasons of record as set forth in the Official action mailed 11/27/98.

The claimed invention broadly reads on cleavage of target nucleic acid via ribozyme composed of modified nucleotides as claimed in any context from cells in culture to whole organisms. Applicants show only nuclease resistance in cells but not the ability of ribozymes with the modifications as recited in claims to bind and cleave targets in cells in any context. While the specification as filed teaches certain delivery regimes as argued in applicants 6/1/99 remarks, the specification as a whole fails to provide any specific or particular guidelines for engineering and successful delivery of ribozyme with the modifications contemplated such that such ribozymes would bind and cleave target in cells in any context. Note the known unpredictability in the art as taught by Branch cited in the previous Official action, where the successful targeting of ribozymes for delivery to cells and whole organisms remains with any specific guidelines due to finding accessible regions in the target nucleic acid in cells and delivery issues for such ribozyme to whole organisms, which breadth is embraced by the claims. To date only limited specific examples are known of ribozyme being delivered to whole organisms, and where such delivery results in cleavage. It is maintained that the instant specification teaches only nuclease resistance in cells in vitro and that no further guidance is provided for the successful delivered of such ribozymes to cells in any context to essentially any target, in view of the unpredictability known

Serial No. 08/936,657 Art Unit 1635

in the art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy

as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John L. LeGUYADER

at telephone number (703) 308-0447. Please note that the examiner's compressed workweek day

off is every Friday.

3

John L. LeGUYADER August 12, 1999